| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|--|
| | , 09/954,995 | CEGLIA, MICHAEL J. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Alexander Jamal | 2643 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application | n: <u>pending</u> |
| (1) <u>Alexander Jamal</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Mike Ceglia</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 24 January 2005 | Time: <u>3pm</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | pplicant's representative) | · . |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| Claim 1 and 2 amendments | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G See Continuation Sheet | ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT | WAS DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sun | n. The examiner will provide a rate record of the substance of | written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| · | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl | licant/Applicant's Representati | ve Signature – if appropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: examiner contacted applicant to notify that the claim amendments received 9-27-2004 were not in a proper format. Examiner also notified applicant that the drawsheet numbers appeared to be mislabeled. Applicant agreed to resubmit the amendment and a new set of drawing figures..